Executive Council Conference Call
Friday, October 27, 2017
1:30 p.m. MST

Attendance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Trustees/Representatives</th>
<th>Directors/Representatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Glen Bailey</td>
<td>Lora Whalen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Doug Zenner</td>
<td>Carol Moehrle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tom Dale, Chairman</td>
<td>Nikole Zogg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Elt Hasbrouck</td>
<td>Rob Howarth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bob Kunau</td>
<td>Melody Bowyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ken Estep</td>
<td>Maggie Mann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Bill Leake</td>
<td>Geri Rackow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Meeting Call to Order and Roll Call**

   Chairman Dale called the meeting to order at 1:35 p.m. and conducted roll call.

2. **Request for Additional Agenda Items**

   **Action:** Glen Bailey made a motion to amend the agenda to add District 4’s proposed legislation for the 2018 legislative session; seconded by Ken Estep. Motion carried unanimously.

3. **Food Inspection Fees**

   Tom summarized the work of the food establishment fee workgroup. The workgroup is meeting monthly and recently invited stakeholders to the table. The meeting with stakeholders was productive, and the stakeholders seemed agreeable to work on a solution.

   Glen asked if the purpose is to solely increase fees. Tom explained the desire is to take the burden off the tax payer. Glen pointed out that D1 establishments are paying their share of the cost, and other industries like forestry, agriculture, new business are receiving tax subsidies. The share of the cost to industry according to the historic agreement is a model that splits cost 1/3 industry, 1/3 local tax payer, 1/3 state tax payer. Tom stated that the resolution that was passed in June indicates that districts should be able to set their own fees. Glen and Ken advised that we move slowly on this issue. Tom acknowledged their concern and indicated that the workgroup is not in a rush. Tom sees the goal as giving the power back to the districts to set their fees for costs associated with these services. Doug echoed Glen and Ken’s comments to go slow so we don’t get sideways with the legislature. Elt suggested a phased in approach over a number of years. Glen emphasized the importance of a consistent/uniform methodology across all districts, and Elt agreed with Glen. Rob provided clarification from the Environmental Health perspective; there have been a lot of efforts to standardize the program across the seven districts.
4. Crisis Center

Tom led off the discussion by saying that District 3 is planning to be the administrator of a crisis center in Region 3. He then asked for updates from the other districts.

- Glen - North Idaho Crisis Center is functioning very well
  - On campus of Kootenai medical center
  - Keeping people out of the ED
  - Saving the hospital money
  - Sheriff reports that they are keeping people out of the jail and court system
  - Has been stood up for 2 years
  - Tom asked if they have any data that can be shared. Glen said they’d be happy to share their data (monthly and annual data). Tom asked if Glen could send the data out. Glen said he’d get the information sent out.

- Elt asked if any of the health districts or counties are providing funding to support their regional crisis center.
  - Glen said the counties are not providing funding. The state is providing funds and the hospital is providing in-kind support in District 1.
  - Elt added that District 4 has been approached to provide funds to support the crisis center in their region.

- Tom asked how the regions are finding funding to support crisis centers moving forward.
  - Glen is planning to show the counties how much is being saved, and hoping that the counties will in turn contribute funds for sustainability in District 1. Elt asked where the funds would come from. Glen said general fund, but no decision has been made.

- Ken said Bannock County has a bond on the ballot for a jail expansion and crisis center. They have a lot of support from District 6 and are discussing an agreement between all six counties.
  - Bill said crisis center in Idaho Falls is run by Bonneville County. They do accept people from other counties. There has been no discussion about other counties putting money into it. The District does not put in any money. The facility has 15 beds.
  - Melody said the crisis center has been up and running since last November. Data are available from the previous three quarters. The number served double from first to second quarter. District 5 is the fiduciary agent, and sub-contracts to another organization to operate. The Sub-contractor is pursuing 501c3 status.

- Doug questions whether the counties should be paying for the crisis centers.
  - Glen added that North Idaho Crisis Center is putting out data that indicates that most users are coming from the population centers.
  - Glen recommends a contract situation for services.
  - Ken said they're planning on a cooperative agreement.
  - Tom said they're planning on establishing a Joint Powers Entity between the public entities and contracts with private entities.
  - Doug feels the crisis centers are an unfunded mandate.
  - Elt said the new crisis center in District 4 will be opening the beginning of December in Boise. He hopes to see positive impact to the indigent funds.

- Glen is very interested in the D2 model
- Tom likes that locals have the flexibility to build a model that works our individual districts/regions.
5. **IADBH Bylaws**

Tom asked if the Boards of Health have had an opportunity to review the IADBH bylaw revisions.
- D2 - Doug said their board was fine with the revisions.
- D1 - Glen said his board had no objections.
- D3 - Tom said his board supported the changes.
- D4 - Elt said the only questions his board had were about the NALBOH/SALBOH representative.
- D6 - Ken said he did not hear any specific problems from his board.
- D7 - Bill said they had no comments from his board.

6. **Network of Care**

Carol led a discussion about the IADBH budget line item for the Network of Care. IADBH voted several years ago to bring Network of Care to the districts. The cost is $15,000 per year. The FY18 budget was approved in June by the IADBH and included funding for the ongoing use of Network of Care, which provides a data warehouse for healthcare data collected at the national, state and local level. Two districts are not using Network of Care, and would like to discontinue paying for it. Five districts use Network of Care in varying capacity and are interested in continuing to pay for it. Directors discussed the contract and did not feel that they had the authority to make a decision about discontinuing the current contract with Network of Care.
- Lora said District 1 uses it for their social network for SHIP cohorts and used it for Public Health Accreditation.
- Carol said District 2 used it for Public Health Accreditation, and is very highly used in District 2. They use it as a health education library, school nurses use it, and they refer parents to it.
- Tom asked if the cost is split among all 7 districts, which it is. Carol has spoken with the vendor, they are willing to work with the districts.
- Maggie said they use the site and would like to continue using it.
- Bob and Melody are unsure about its use in District 5 and will have to get back to us.
- Doug asked if the Executive Council could make a decision against the IADBH. Tom thinks it would be best to wait and vote on it at the next IADBH meeting.
- Glen and Doug are okay with moving forward with Tom’s suggestion.

7. **Appoint IAC Environment, Energy, and Land Use Liaison**

Tom led off the discussion. Rene LeBlanc had been the liaison to the Environment, Energy, and Land Use Committee. In his absence, a new director needs to be appointed as the liaison.
- Glen recommended Russ Duke. Elt said that Russ expressed that he would not be interested in this role.
- Carol suggested that the directors come up with a recommendation and bring it back to the Executive Council for discussion. The members agreed to her recommendation.

8. **Legislative Update**

Kelli provided the following update.
- Governor appointed workgroup:
  - Goal of the workgroup is to stabilize insurance rates.
  - There is a plan to apply for two Medicaid waivers
    - 1332 - give more people access to the tax credit (under 100% FPL)
9. **District 4’s Proposed Legislation**

Glen Bailey

Glen asked the Executive Council to take these two bills into consideration. He believes the districts need to follow protocol and processes. Glen believes the two bills should have been coordinated with the Executive Council, and we should have been allowed to provide input.

- Elt said these are drafts and are subject to change.
- Doug - what court is a filling under
  - Elt thinks the appeal would happen in district court. The main thing that he wanted to emphasize is the AG’s letter noting our non-compliance with open meeting law.
- Kelli provided feedback regarding open meeting law and the appeals and administrative procedures act. She said we may want to look at changing the language to something along the lines of “in accordance with open meeting law” (instead of title 74). She does not recommend removing it, but revising it. Kelli will email language to consider when drafting feedback for Megan.
- Bill asked if we have not been compliant with open meeting law. If there is someone who does not think we are following open meeting law then we should hire someone to check into it.
- Elt asked, what is the legal way to post?
- Doug asked, do we need an advertising budget to post these meetings all across the state?
- Kelli said if it was a physical meeting, post the meeting at the physical location
  - It is not required to post online
  - Phone meetings - post it in your office
- Glen objected to the 5 year length for the formula review/change, and requested that it be put back to 3 years.
- Elt also supported the 3 years.
- The members discussed past conversations they have had about reviewing the impact of a formula change. The members recalled agreeing to allow a formula to exist for 3 years before considering changes. They decided that they would recommend to Megan to change the language in the draft bill from 5 to 3 years.
- Ken said District 6 board is going to write an opinion and respond back to the person.
- Rob said that Megan is open to receiving feedback from board members and directors, and it can be sent to her directly.
- Family planning waiver draft bill language:
There was discussion about what would be and would not be covered. Abortions would not be covered.

Kelli suggested going back and defining family planning services; it would be good to make clear for everyone reviewing it

- Glen requested that Tom draft a letter on behalf of the Executive Council to Megan that summarizes their feedback.

10. **Next Meeting**

   Elt proposed a meeting in January. Glen suggested we wait to get a response from Megan and then hold a special meeting, if needed. A recommendation was also made to schedule the next in-person meeting during the IAC legislative meeting in January or February, and the members agreed.

11. **Adjourn**

   **Action:** Ken Estep made a motion to adjourn; seconded by Glen Bailey. Motion carried unanimously.

   Meeting adjourned at 3:15 p.m. MST.